Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/604,596	MAKIPAA, RISTO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LEE NGUYEN	2618	

All Participants:

(1) *LEE NGUYEN*.

(2) *A. BLAIR HUGHES (32,901)*.

Status of Application: *Non-Final*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *19 January 2011*

Time: *3:00PM*

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

38

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Typographical error is corrected with the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)